BEST AVAILABLE COPY



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/669,487	09/23/2003		Charles M. Hastings SR.	033962-002	3972
21839	7590	09/14/2005		EXAMINER	
		RSOLL PC	NGUYEN, PHUNG		
(INCLUDING BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS) POST OFFICE BOX 1404				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			2632		

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/669,487	HASTINGS, CHARLES M.						
43 4	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
- 1 11 14 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		Phung T. Nguyen	2632						
-16 - # ∦ -2 + 4 -24 - 2	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
######################################	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
+ 614 - 448 ti	Status								
・部件 年刊: - 14 - 11	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 A	pril 2005.							
tit		s action is non-final.							
٠.,	3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	Disposition of Claims								
	4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
66 + p 46 - 35	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
t+ 1 6+ 4pm	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.								
* • 4	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
**	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.							
+11 + 4 +14	Application Papers								
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the								
神神 神神	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
84F A -	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
•	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).						
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		, , , , ,						
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in Applicat	tion No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior								
1. f	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
·* ;	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	ed.						
1 1 1 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1									
ात है। केई हैं 217									
614 ·· 614 211	Attachment(s)								
النال	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)						
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date						
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:									

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lennox et al. (U.S. Pat. 6,137,419) in view of Amberger et al. (U.S. Pat. 4,942,937).

Regarding claim 1: Lennox et al. disclose pickup truck tailgate monitor comprising a control module, the control module receiving an electrical signal from an extensible structure when the extensible structure is fully or partially deployed and, wherein the control module communicates the electrical signal to an audible alarm (col. 3, lines 18-50) and wherein the system does not inhibit the vehicle from being driven (col. 3, lines 61-64). Lennox et al. do not teach a sensor for sensing a first position and a second position of a vehicle's gearshift lever, wherein the sensor is affixed to an exterior of the vehicle's dashboard. However, Amberger et al. disclose locking device for a gearshift lever comprising the sensor switch 28 or 32 (fig. 1, col. 2, lines 47-56, and col. 3, lines 14-22) for sensing a first position and a second position of a vehicle's gearshift lever. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the technique of Amberger et al. in the system of Lennox et al. in order to alert the driver if the extensible structure is fully or partially deployed before driving the vehicle which is an advantage.

Regarding claim 2: Amberger et al. disclose wherein at least a portion of the sensor is

15 affixed to the vehicle's gearshift lever (fig. 1, col. 2, lines 47-52).

Regarding claim 3: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the sensor is a microswitch (col. 3, lines 14-21).

Regarding claim 4: Lennox et al. and Amberger et al. do not teach wherein the microswitch is a normally closed single pole single throw microswitch. Since Amberger et al. disclose the sensor switch 28 or 32 as shown in figure 4, it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to use the normally closed single pole single throw microswitch or the normally open single pole single throw microswitch to detect the position of the vehicle's gearshift lever as desired because they perform the same function.

Regarding claim 5: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the sensor is an infrared LED and an infrared receptor affixed to an exterior of the vehicle's dashboard (col. 3, lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 6: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the sensor is a magnetic device (col. 3, lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 7: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the magnetic device comprises a magnetic sensing switch and a magnet (col. 3, lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 8: Lennox et al. disclose wherein the control module comprises an enclosure, a circuit board, and a plurality of electrical connections as shown in figure 3.

Regarding claim 9: Amberger et al. disclose a remotely controlled switching device (col. 3, lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 10: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the switching device is an electromechanical device (col. 3, lines 14-21).

Regarding claim 11: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the switching device is a semi-

Art Unit: 2632

conductor circuit which uses the existence of a control voltage to enable a passage of electricity from the device's input terminal through it to its output terminal as shown in figure 4.

Regarding claim 12: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the switching device is an optical switch device (col. 3, lines 17-21).

Regarding claim 13: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the switching device is a magnetic circuit (col. 3, lines 14-21).

Regarding claim 14: Lennox et al. disclose wherein the alarm is a piezo alarm siren (col. 3, lines 46-48).

Regarding claim 15: Lennox et al. and Amberger et al. do not teach a flashing light as claimed. Since Lennox et al. teach the alarm is the electronic buzzer 68 (col. 3, lines 42-50), it would be obvious to the skilled artisan to add the flashing light in order to provide a comprehensive system.

Regarding claim 16: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claims 1 and 6 above.

Regarding claim 17: All the claimed subject matter is already discussed in respect to claim 1 above.

Regarding claims 18-20: Amberger et al. disclose wherein the first position is park and the second position is any other drive position (abstract, and col. 2, lines 47-52).

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2632

Conclusion

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
 - a. Stegman et al. [U.S. 2003/0201876] disclose school bus emergency exit monitor.
 - b. Kucik [U.S. Pat. 5,781,120] discloses pneumatically operated safety gate.
 - c. Jefferson [U.S. Pat. 5,355,117] discloses vehicle warning sign.
- 5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2968. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:00am to 5:30pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/669,487

Art Unit: 2632

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu, can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for this Group is 571-273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is 571-272-2600.

Phung Nguyen

Date: September 8, 2005

Page 6

This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning Operations and is not part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:	
BLACK BORDERS	
☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES	
☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING	
☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES	
☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS	
☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS	
☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT	
☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY	

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.